

Generative AI for Predictive Decision-Making in Engineering Systems

¹Sachin Laxman Patekar

¹Independent Researcher, Pune, India

Corresponding author: Sachin Laxman Patekar, Email: sachinpatekar112@gmail.com

Abstract

Engineering systems, from supply chains and manufacturing, energy and infrastructure with higher complexity and data intensity need advanced predictive decision-making for reliability, efficiency, and resilience. Classical approaches of physics-based simulations, statistical forecasting, and traditional machine learning have achieved much knowledge but are insufficient in their ability for treating uncertainty, dynamic conditions, and incomplete or diverse data. Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a disruptive model that can address those challenges by learning data's distribution and generating new scenarios, simulations, and solutions outside the historic record.

This paper reviews the generative AI contributions for predictive decision-making in engineering applications. It outlines the fundamentals of generative models like Generative Adversarial Networks, Variational Autoencoders, diffusion models, and large language models and reviews applications for design for products, manufacturing, supply chain design, and energy systems. Key benefits observed are higher prediction accuracies, generation of synthetic data, lower design cycle times, and higher flexibility under uncertainty. A discussion of the corresponding drawbacks in terms of data requirements, interpretability, integration, and ethical concerns follows.

The paper concludes that generative AI can't substitute for domain knowledge, yet it makes a formidable complement to human decision-making. By adding generative intelligence to digital twins, real-time monitoring through IoT-enabled infrastructure, and human-in-the-loop systems, generative AI can redefine predictive engineering and lead toward the next generation of systems that are resilient, adaptable, and innovative.

Keywords: Generative Artificial Intelligence, Predictive Decision-Making, Engineering Systems, Digital Twins.

1. Introduction

Engineering systems today, which include manufacturing plants, energy grids, transportation networks, and supply chains, become increasingly complex, interdependent, and data-intense. Decision-making in those systems often relies upon the ability for forecasting future conditions, for assessing risk, and for optimizing resource utilization. Predictive decision-making has therefore become a characteristic of today's engineering practice, enabling organizations to minimize failure, optimize efficiency, and adapt more readily to changing environments. Traditionally, predictive analytics has relied upon physics-based simulations, statistical forecasting, and machine learning models. Though successful in certain areas, those approaches are often limited by rigid assumptions, a requirement for historical data, and challenges in accommodating uncertainty or sparsity [1].

There exists a revolutionizing alternative through generative Artificial Intelligence (AI). While conventional prediction models would simply extrapolate from historic trends, generative AI can come up with synthetic conditions, model outcomes under various constraints, and generate new design alternatives. Techniques such as generative adversarial networks (GANs), variational auto-encoders, diffusion models, and large language models (LLMs) have found applications for filling knowledge gaps and complementing decision-making in engineering problems. Whether from predicting manufacturing faults and supply chain breakdowns through modeling energy grid stability or developing best design alternatives, there exists promise for generative AI allowing engineers more effective exploration of complex decision spaces [2].

This paper covers prediction-based decision-making using generative AI in engineering systems. It covers its basics, usage, benefits, challenges, and potential and argues that generative AI is a necessary step toward intelligent, more robust, and flexible engineering practice.

2. Predictive Decision-Making in Engineering Systems

2.1 Definition and Importance

Predictive decision-making involves the use of data-driven insights for the prediction of future events, behavior, or outcomes as a means of helping in strategic and operational decisions. In systems engineering, failure, inefficiency, or delay can impose high monetary and safety expenses. Predictive insights are thus essential. Precise prediction of equipment wear, for example, would help avoid manufacturing downtime at a very high cost. Assisting energy-grid reliability, a load variability prediction would come in handy. Predictive decision-making not only helps reduce risks; it also helps in informed planning, resource optimization, and system-wide resilience.

2.2 Traditional Techniques

Historically, predictive decision-making for engineering has relied upon physics-based modeling, deterministic optimization methods, and statistical forecasting methods. Finite element analysis

(FEA), computational fluid dynamics (CFD), time-series forecasting, and regression models are typical tools. Classical machine learning methods such as decision trees, support vector machines, and random forests have more recently come into use for identifying patterns in historical databases and for prediction. While effective, the prediction power of the methods tends to depend upon clean, copious, and problem-specific data [3].

2.3 Limit

Conventional prediction models are also restricted by several limitations. Physics-based simulations are computationally expensive and therefore not very scalable for real-time predictions. Statistical models are also not flexible, and not appropriate for nonlinear or fast-varying conditions. Machine learning methods, although more flexible, also very much depend upon quality and availability of labeled data. Furthermore, most engineering systems generate unstructured, multimodal, or incomplete data that cannot be readily input into conventional models. These challenges therefore require more advanced techniques—such as generative AI—that can cope with uncertainty, combine multiple types of heterogeneous data, and provide fuller prediction insights [4].

3. Fundamentals of Generative AI

3.1 Core Concepts

Generative Artificial Intelligence is a group of algorithms that are capable of building new examples of data that are comparable to gathered datasets. Unlike typical predictive models that focus on mapping inputs to outputs, generative models learn the data's distribution and can generate new outputs. This makes them particularly useful in engineering applications, where predicting future conditions or modeling system states often involves starting from incomplete, uncertain, or divergent data. Generative AI basically goes beyond simple extrapolation and makes it possible for new possibilities that are always grounded in real-world patterns to be created.

3.2 Techniques Related to Engineering

Several generative techniques are proving highly relevant to predictive decision-making in engineering systems:

Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs): These are two networks (a generator and a discriminator that vie and attempt to enhance one another in order to produce realistic synthetic data. In engineering, one would use GANs to generate material behavior, detect anomalies, or generate sensor data.

Variational Autoencoders (VAEs): Learn compact latent data representations that can be sampled for generating new outputs. VAEs are useful for design option exploration as well as prediction of outcomes under variable conditions.

Iterative Refining of Noisy Data into Structured Outputs Diffusion Models. They can also be applied for predicting hard physical phenomena such as fluid flow or material failure propagation.

Large Language Models (LLMs): Originally constructed for natural-language applications, LLMs can accommodate technical documents, system logs, and structured/unstructured engineering data, and support knowledge-driven predictions and scenario analysis [5].

3.3 How It Is Different from Classical Predictive AI

Traditional prediction-oriented AI is heavily reliant on history and determinist modeling, often restricted to problems of regression or class prediction. In contrast, generative AI has the capability of extrapolating future states, filling in missing values for incomplete data, and synthesizing entirely new design conditions. Instead of merely predicting whether or not a machine would fail, for example, generative models are capable of reproducing a spectrum of plausible failure modes for a range of conditions of use. This capacity for joint prediction and creative construction makes generative AI a revolutionizing tool for engineering decision-making [6].

4. Generative AI for Predictive Engineering Applications

The strength of generative AI is in the modeling of multiple cases, generation of new choices, and prediction of outcomes in complex systems. In engineering, in an environment of uncertainty and multidimensional trade-offs, these capacities make it a valuable decision-support tool. It applies at all stages of the engineering lifecycle, from design and development of a product through manufacture, logistics, and infrastructure operations.

4.1 Product Design and Development

The conventional design of engineering is a compromise between sustainability, safety, cost, and performance. The generative artificial intelligence enhances this practice by predicting how a design variation would act under specific conditions and by creating new design alternatives that human beings may not necessarily consider.

- Connected to the CAD systems, generative design has the capacity to propose thousands of geometric variants and calculate their pattern of stress, thermal characteristics, or weight.
- VAEs and diffusion models allow engineers to move through “design spaces” by testing new geometries virtually without extensive prototyping.

- In the auto or aerospace industries, generative artificial intelligence can predict aerodynamic performance or crashworthiness of new structural or system solutions and aid engineers in narrowing down feasible solutions early in design development [7].

4.2 Manufacturing Systems

Production systems require ongoing forecasting of the end results in order to minimize waste and optimize efficiency. Generative AIs can model processes, extrapolate deviations, and simulate production streams under changing conditions.

- Predicting process outcome: We can use GANs trained by sensors in order to simulate the likelihood of weld or additive manufacturing porosity or weld cracks.
- Synthetic data generation: In a case of limited availability of data, generative models can synthesize realistic data for quality-control algorithm-training, thus reducing the need for costly trial runs.
- Predictive optimization of process: Process sequences for different parameters can be modelled by generative AI for predicting throughput, energy consumption, or usage of machines and giving decision-makers other cases for enhanced efficiency [8].

4.3 Supply Chain and Logistics

There are also engineering systems in complicated supply chains, in which disruption is a regular feature. Generative AI strengthens predictive logistics by creating artificial conditions and stress-testing systems under unexpected conditions [9].

- Uncertainty modeling for demand forecasting: Instead of predicting a single demand curve, generative models are able to model multiple demand conditions based on shifts in the markets, seasonal patterns, or disruptions.
- Disruption prediction and risk modeling: Using unstructured news articles, weather, and supplier alerts, generative AI predicts delays, shortages, or geopolitical risks.
- What-if simulations: Firms can simulate hypothetical disruptors such as a port closure or raw material supply shortages and forecast cascading events across the supply chain, allowing pre-mitigation planning by managers [10].

4.4 Energy and Infrastructure Systems

Energy grids, transport systems, and infrastructural systems need robust prediction abilities for sustaining safety and stability. Generative models of AI can model system behavior while under stress and propose trajectories for adaptation.

- Predictive maintenance: VAEs and GANs can synthesize probable failure modes for grid components, or for turbines and compressors, even when failure cases are limited, and assist in planning maintenance prior to failure.

- Simulation of energy demand: The generative models can simulate energy utilization patterns under changing conditions such as seasonal change or integration of renewables, allowing for balancing of grid and storage planning.
- Disaster resilience: Diffusion models can predict the infrastructure behavior during earthquakes or floods and provide for pre-emptive reinforcement and optimization of design in civil engineering [11].

In all these areas, the common denominator is scenario creation and predictive insight. Generative AI cannot simply extrapolate the past; it allows engineers to consider multiple futures, try alternatives in silico, and prepare for the unknown. This prediction flexibility makes generative AI a transformational layer across systems of engineering.

5. Benefits of Generative AI in Predictive Decision-Making

Generative AI provides a new model for engineering decision-making through the blending of prediction accuracy and creative synthesis. In contrast to traditional models that are limited by available datasets or by determinism-based assumptions, generative AI has the potential to extend the solution space and provide engineers with more complete insights for anticipatory planning. Key advantages are:

5.1. Higher Predictive Accuracy:

Sophisticated generative models of AI are able to model thousands of cases and learn hard, nonlinear relationships in engineering systems. By integrating structured sensor input and unstructured sources such as operator logs or maintenance comments, they provide predictions that are dynamic and comprehensive under variable conditions.

5.2 Data Augmentation and Synthetic Data Generation:

Access to large, high-quality datasets is often prohibitively costly or impractical in many areas of engineering. Synthetic datasets that can be made to look like real-world data through generative AI allow engineers to train prediction algorithms by not relying solely on limited experimental results. This is especially useful in failure prediction, for which real-world failure data is often limited.

5.3 Speeding Up Simulation and Design Cycles:

Physics simulations such as FEA or CFD are very accurate but very computationally intensive. You can estimate those outcomes in much less time through generative models, whereby much faster iteration in design, testing, and optimization is achieved. Engineers can thus evaluate more design alternatives within tighter project schedules.

5.4 Increased Adaptability and Flexibility:

Engineered systems often experience turbulent environments—variable demand, material fluctuations, or equipment variability. Generative AI can simulate a full spectrum of “what-if” conditions and provide contingency options for decision-makers, allowing systems that are more resilient in the face of uncertainty.

5.5 Democratization of Decision-Making:

Generative AI also reduces the barrier for predictive analytics. With natural-language interfaces and scenario generation applications, non-specialist stakeholders (such as operators and managers) can use complex predictive models without needing extensive technical knowledge. This democratization promotes more inclusive and collaborative decision-making for engineering organizations.

6. Challenges and Limitations

While generative AI holds tremendous promise for prediction-based decision aid for engineering systems, adopting it comes with inherent challenges. Addressing those challenges is necessary for enabling reliability, scalability, and responsible deployment in safety-critical systems.

6.1 Data Requirements:

Most of the generative models of AI require huge, diversified, and quality data for efficient prediction. The majority of the fields of engineering like aerospace or nuclear systems yield limited or highly sensitive data, and it is thus difficult to build efficient models. Incomplete or biased data may lead to incorrect or deceptive predictions.

6.2 Model Interpretability:

Most generative models are black boxes that provide non-transparent output without explicit explanation. Engineering applications that emphasize safety and responsibility may not want to make decisions based solely on predictions that are not comprehensible. Regulatory acceptance, trustworthiness, and industry adoption are all impacted by a non-interpretable model.

6.3 Integrating with Current Engineering Tools:

Engineering companies rely much on established systems such as CAD, PLM (Product Lifecycle Management), ERP, and simulation software. Integrating generative output from AI into those systems is complex and frequently requires much customization. Without interoperability, the promise of predictive generative modeling might not be fully achieved.

6.4 Ethical and Safety Considerations:

Erroneous predictions may have seismic implications in applications ranging from flight, through medicine, to energy. Over-reliance in the employment of AI-generated scenarios without a

human-in-the-loop may introduce new risk avenues. Furthermore, synthetically generated data, if not sufficiently vetted, may reinforce biases or mask rare but important failure modes.

6.5 Computational Costs and Scalability:

The AI generative models, especially diffusion models and big language models, need high levels of computation and energy consumption. They may prove very expensive for real-time prediction decisions for engineering operations at a scaled level, particularly for small and medium sized enterprises.

6.6 Human Oversight

Despite development, generative AI for applications cannot replace expertise. Human engineers have to retain involvement for verification of forecasts, interpretation of scenarios, and ultimate decisions. Automation and human discretion need to be balanced not to over-rely on AI-generated insights.

7. Future Directions

The application of generative AI for predictive decision-making for engineering systems is still in its early stages. Though applications that exist today are very promising, later research and development would not only extend its scope further but also correct existing limitations. Certain areas are particularly notable:

7.1 Hybrid AI Models:

Another possible pathway lies by integrating physics-based simulations and generative AI. Physics-informed neural networks (PINNs), for instance, merge fundamental engineering laws and machine learning flexibility. Such hybrid models can produce predictions that not only are data-driven but also physically informed and therefore less susceptible to unrealistic predictions.

7.2 Domain-specific Generative AI:

General-purpose models of AI may not necessarily capture the nuances of need for specialized areas of engineering. Future work would more readily focus on developing specialized generative models, e.g., for aerospace design, for additive manufacturing, or for optimization of energy grids. Such specialized models would improve the accuracy and the scope of applications.

7.3 Real-Time Decision-Making Using IoT and Digital Twins:

As engineering systems become increasingly connected through sensors and IoT devices, real-time data streams can feed generative AI models to predict and adapt instantly. When integrated with digital twins, generative AI could simulate multiple future states of a system in parallel, enabling proactive interventions before failures occur.

7.4 Human-in-the-Loop Systems:

The future prediction systems will more frequently emphasize human-AI collaboration rather than fully independent autonomy. The generative AI can provide engineers multiple prediction scenarios, and professionals in the practice confirm and select the most practical pathway. This allows for accountability and trust in decisions made by virtue of the AI.

7.5 Governance and Ethical Frameworks:

To attain safe adoption, there will be a requirement for future work related to governance, regulatory frameworks, and ethical guidelines for generative AI in engineering. Standard-setting for validation, for transparency, and for safety-critical usage cases will be needed for wider industry acceptance.

8. Conclusion

Predictive decision-making is at the core of efficient twenty-first-century engineering systems, for which complexity, uncertainty, and interdependence are ever more typical features of performance. Previous approaches—physics-based simulation, statistical prediction, or conventional machine learning—have built a firm foundation but consistently fail adequately to accommodate the dynamic, uncertain, and data-limited environments of the engineer's practice. Generative AI offers a radical alternative by going beyond simple extrapolation and enabling the modeling of multiple futures, the creation of new possibilities, and the complementing of limited data.

From domains of product design, manufacturing, supply chain, and energy systems, generative AI has demonstrated its promise for widening prediction reliability, minimizing design cycles, and facilitating anticipatory decisions. Though challenges of data requirements, model explainability, system integration, and ethical issues support cautious implementation, the technology is not a replacement for human knowledge but a complement amplifying engineers' capacity for preparation and response against uncertainty.

In the future, the combination of generative AI and digital twins, real-time sensing by means of IoT, and modeling by domains will further extend its application into the realm of predictive decision-making. With due governance and human supervision, the generative AI can also become the pillar of next-generation systems in engineering—in promoting efficiency, resiliency, and innovation in a way it was not possible in the past.

9. References

1. Gonçalves ACR, Costoya X, Nieto R, Liberato MLR (2024) Extreme weather events on energy systems: a comprehensive review on impacts, mitigation, and adaptation measures. *Sustainable Energy Research* 11:. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40807-023-00097-6>
2. KT (2023) The Evolution of Generative AI: Implications for the Media and Film Industry. *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research* 5:. <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2023.v05i05.7537>
3. Song Y, Knoben WJM, Clark MP, et al (2024) When ancient numerical demons meet physics-informed machine learning: adjoint-based gradients for implicit differentiable modeling. *Hydrol Earth Syst Sci* 28:. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-28-3051-2024>
4. Unke OT, Chmiela S, Sauceda HE, et al (2021) Machine Learning Force Fields. *Chem Rev* 121
5. Yang H, Xiang K, Ge M, et al (2024) A Comprehensive Overview of Backdoor Attacks in Large Language Models Within Communication Networks. *IEEE Netw* 38:. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MNET.2024.3367788>
6. Akash Abaji Kadam, Tejaskumar Vaidya, & Subba rao katragadda. (2025). Digital Transformation of Supply Chain Quality Management: Integrating AI, IoT, Blockchain, and Big Data. *Journal of Economics, Finance and Accounting Studies* , 7(3), 41-49. <https://doi.org/10.32996/jefas.2025.7.3.5>
7. Gu Z, Hou X, Saafi M, Ye J (2022) A Novel Self-Updating Design Method for Complex 3D Structures Using Combined Convolutional Neuron and Deep Convolutional Generative Adversarial Networks. *Advanced Intelligent Systems* 4:. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aisy.202100186>
8. Lombardo T, Duquesnoy M, El-Bouysidy H, et al (2022) Artificial Intelligence Applied to Battery Research: Hype or Reality? *Chem Rev* 122
9. Kadam, A., & Pitkar, H. (2025). Optimizing Supply Chain Management with ChatGPT: An Analytical and Empirical Multi-Methodological Study. *Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies*, 7(1), 337-350. <https://doi.org/10.32996/jcsts.2025.7.1.25>
10. Jackson I, Ivanov D, Dolgui A, Namdar J (2024) Generative artificial intelligence in supply chain and operations management: a capability-based framework for analysis and implementation. *Int J Prod Res* 62:. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2024.2309309>
11. Elahi M, Afolaranmi SO, Martinez Lastra JL, Perez Garcia JA (2023) A comprehensive literature review of the applications of AI techniques through the lifecycle of industrial equipment. *Discover Artificial Intelligence* 3