REVIEW ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

Data Consistency in Microservices: Leveraging ACID Transactions for Reliability

Vandana Sharma

Technology Specialist, Leading Technology Organization, San Francisco Bay Area, CA, US vandanatripathi01@gmail.com)

Abstract:

Abstract - In modern software development, the shift from monolithic to distributed microservices architectures has introduced new challenges in ensuring data integrity and consistency. As systems scale and become more decentralized, organizations must adopt strategies that preserve data reliability, even in the face of *network failures, service outages,or communication issues. This article explores the criticalrole of idempotency and retries in handling data integrity within distributed systems. By employing these techniques, developers can design systems that gracefully recover from failures and maintain consistent data, ensuring smooth operations across microservices without compromising performance or scalability.*

Keywords - ACID Transactions, Data Integrity, Distributed Systems, Database Consistency, Scalability, Fault Tolerance, NoSQL Databases, SQL Databases, Atomicity, Durability, Consistency.

International Journal of Computer Techniques -– Volume 11 Issue 6, December2024

1. Introduction

Building scalable and flexible systems has grown more dependent on the adoption of microservices architecture in the ever-changing field of modern software development. Organisations face new data integrity and consistency concerns when they switch from traditional monolithic architectures to distributed microservices. Maintaining the system's overall health and functionality depends on data integrity and reliability across microservices. However, in distributed environments, where services communicate asynchronously over networks, the risk of partial failures, retries, and duplicated requests grows significantly. Ensuring data integrity in such scenarios requires robust mechanisms to handle these challenges.

Idempotency and retry strategies offer effective solutions for mitigating data inconsistency issues in distributed systems. Idempotency guarantees that repeated operations produce the same result, preventing unintended side effects, while retry mechanisms ensure that temporary failures do not compromise the system's stability. This article delves into how these techniques can be applied in microservices to preserve data integrity, even in the face of failures, and provides best practices for their implementation.

2. Addressing Data Integrity Challenges in Microservices

Data integrity is a critical challenge in the microservices architecture, where systems are composed of independently deployable and loosely connected services. Unlike monolithic systems, microservices frequently communicate over networks, introducing latency and increasing the potential for errors. Ensuring consistent and reliable data flow between these services is crucial, as data inconsistencies can have significant consequences—ranging from poor user experiences to faulty business decisions, and even decreased system reliability.

In a distributed environment, traditional monolithic systems do not face the same set of issues. Microservices require meticulous coordination of data transactions [7] across multiple services, each possibly managing its own database. Without proper planning, challenges such as race conditions, data conflicts, and eventual consistency problems can arise. To achieve the promised agility and scalability of microservices, organizations must adopt strategies that address these challenges and ensure robust data integrity throughout the system.

3. The Core Principles ofACID Transactions in Ensuring Data Integrity

ACID transactions [8] ensure the reliability and \overline{ACID} outages or disruptions. The four key principles of ACID

transactions—Atomicity, Consistency, Isolation, and Durability—are crucial for maintaining data integrity.

- **Atomicity**: Each transaction is treated as a single, indivisible unit of work. Either all changes within a transaction are successfully committed, or none are, ensuring that the system remains consistent even if a failure occurs during the transaction process.
- **Consistency**: ACID transactions guarantee that the database transitions from one valid state to another while adhering to defined constraints and rules. This ensures data integrity by preventing partial or incorrect transactions from being applied.
- **Isolation**: Transactions are executed independently from one another, avoiding interference or conflicts between concurrent operations. Isolation ensures that the outcome of one transaction is not influenced by other simultaneous transactions, helping to prevent race conditions and data conflicts.
- **Durability:** Once a transaction is committed, its effects are permanent, persisting even in the event of system failures. Durability ensures that the results of a transaction survive power outages, crashes, or other catastrophic events.

Fig. 1 ACID Properties

4. Importance of ACID Transactions in Maintaining Data Consistency

In the context of distributed microservices, maintaining data consistency is a formidable challenge due to the decentralized nature of the architecture. Microservices often interact asynchronously, with each service managing its own database, leading to potential data inconsistencies. ACID (Atomicity, Consistency, Isolation, Durability) transactions play a crucial role in addressing these challenges, ensuring robust data integrity and system reliability. Here are several key reasons why ACID transactions are vital in microservices architectures: **Prevention of Data Inconsistencies**

consistency of database operations, even during system
inconsistencies that arise from partial or failed operations. transactions are designed to prevent By enforcing the principle of atomicity, ACID ensures

International Journal of Computer Techniques -– Volume 11 Issue 6, December2024

that either all changes within a transaction are committed **5. The Role of Databases in Ensuring ACID** or none. This "all-or-nothing" approach helps to maintain a consistent state across services and databases, ensuring that incomplete transactions never leave the system in an unstable state.

Error Handling and Recovery

In distributed systems, failures such as network disruptions, service crashes, or power outages are inevitable. The rollback capability of ACID transactions ensures that, in the event of an error, the system can revert to a valid state as if the transaction never occurred. This automatic rollback is crucial for recovering from unexpected events and preserving data accuracy, avoiding situations where a partial transaction corrupts the system.

Coordination AcrossMultiple Services

ACID transactions allow for smooth coordination across multiple microservices. In a distributed architecture, data modifications often span multiple services and databases, requiring strong consistency guarantees. ACID ensures that all participating services in a transaction can maintain a globally consistent state. This is especially valuable for critical business operations like payments, order processing, and inventory management, where data must be synchronized across multiple components.

Data Integrity in Concurrency

The isolation property of ACID transactions guarantees that transactions operate independently, preventing interference between concurrent operations. This is will us particularly important in microservices, where multiple services may attempt to read or write to the same data simultaneously. Isolation ensures that race conditions and conflicts are avoided, maintaining data consistency even in highly concurrent environments.

Durability and System Reliability

Durability ensures that once a transaction is committed, its changes persist, even in the face of catastrophic system failures like server crashes or power outages. This guarantees that important data is never lost after it is written, enhancing system reliability. For distributed microservices, where failures can propagate across services, durability is essential across a globally distributed cluster. It uses a consensus algorithm to ensure strong consistency and durability, for maintaining trust in the system's data integrity.

Ensuring Business Rule Compliance

ACID transactions enforce consistency by ensuring that data always adheres to predefined constraints and business rules. This is crucial for applications that rely on accurate and reliable data to make critical decisions. Whether it's ensuring that financial transactions adhere to regulatory requirements or that inventory levels remain accurate, ACID helps maintain the integrity of data across distributed services.

In summary, ACID transactions provide a robust mechanism for ensuring data consistency, even in the most complex distributed microservices environments. They offer a safeguard against errors, inconsistencies, and race conditions, making them indispensable for maintaining the integrity and reliability of modern software systems.

Transactions in a Microservices Environment

Databases are crucial components in a microservices architecture, managing and storing data across distributed services. Preserving ACID (Atomicity, Consistency, Isolation, Durability) properties in such environments is challenging, especially when dealing with distributed systems. Various databases have evolved to address these challenges, offering different strategies to maintain data integrity and consistency. Here's how databases like YugaByteDB, MongoDB [4], Cassandra [2], and others handle ACID transactions in distributed microservices:

YugaByteDB [3]: YugaByteDB employs a distributed architecture that enables ACID transactions across multiple nodes. It provides strong consistency, fault tolerance, and combines the scalability of NoSQL with the transactional guarantees of SQL. This makes it well suited for organizations requiring high availability without sacrificing data integrity in distributed microservices.

MongoDB [4]: As a NoSQL database, MongoDB traditionally lacked full ACID compliance, but the introduction of multi-document transactions addresses this limitation. Organizations can now ensure data consistency across multiple documents and collections, which is vital for maintaining transactional integrity in microservices environments where data is dispersed across different services.

Cassandra: Known for its scalability and availability, Cassandra provides tunable consistency levels, allowing organizations to strike a balance between performance and data integrity. While it does not natively support full ACID transactions, Cassandra offers lightweight transactions to handle specific use cases where atomicity and consistency are necessary in distributed systems.

Cockroach DB [5]: Cockroach DB is a distributed SQL database that excels at providing ACID transactions across a globally distributed cluster. It uses a consensus making it an ideal choice for enterprises that need both horizontal scalability and transactional integrity across multiple geographic regions.

Foundation DB [6]: Foundation DB is a distributed key value store designed to deliver strong ACID guarantees in a distributed environment. Its unique architecture allows multiple services to execute transactions safely, maintaining consistency across microservices without sacrificing scalability.

Google Spanner [1]: Google Spanner is a globally distributed relational database service that supports ACID transactions. By leveraging synchronized clocks and a highly available infrastructure, Spanner provides strong consistency and scalability, enabling organizations to maintain data integrity across microservices operating in different regions.

International Journal of Computer Techniques -– Volume 11 Issue 6, December2024

PostgreSQL with Citus: PostgreSQL, when combined with the Citus extension, can provide distributed ACID [1] Google Spanner. Google transactions. This extension distributes PostgreSQL across multiple nodes while retaining its strong ACID properties, [2] making it a suitable choice for microservices architectures that require both relational database capabilities and horizontal scalability.

Each of these databases tackles the complexities of $\begin{bmatrix} 4 \end{bmatrix}$ maintaining ACID properties in distributed microservices environments, offering organizations a range of options that [5] Cockroach balance scalability, availability, and data integrity. By understanding the strengths and trade-offs of each system, [6] Foundation organizations can choose the right database to meet their specific requirements for data consistency and reliability.

6. Conclusion

In this comprehensive exploration of ACID transactions within modern distributed microservices architecture, we've highlighted the fundamental importance
of magneticing data intensity is an increasingly [8] IBM Developer: of maintaining data integrity in an increasingly decentralized and dynamic environment. As microservices continue to evolve, they present both challenges and opportunities for organizations striving for consistency, reliability, and scalability.

ACID transactions remain a cornerstone in ensuring data integrity across distributed services, offering robust solutions for managing complex transactions. However, maintaining this level of consistency requires not only a solid understanding of ACID principles but also adaptability to evolving technologies and architectures.

As organizations face the ongoing complexities of microservices, embracing innovation, adopting best practices, and learning from real-world case studies will be essential to building resilient systems.

Ultimately, the key to success in a microservices architecture lies in a balanced approach that combines the core strengths of ACID transactions with emerging technologies and strategies. By doing so, organizations can confidently navigate the future, creating scalable, reliable, and future-proof distributed systems.

References

- Cloud Documentation. https://googleapis.dev/nodejs/spanner/latest/Spanner.html
- [2] Cassandra Documentation. Apache Cassandra Documentation. Retrieved from Apache [Cassandra](https://cassandra.apache.org/doc/latest/) https://cassandra.apache.org/doc/latest/
- [3] YugaByteDB Documentation YugaByteDB: https://docs.yugabyte.com/
- MongoDB Documentation. Multi-Document Transactions in MongoDB: https://www.mongodb.com/docs/
- DB Documentation. https://www.cockroachlabs.com/docs/stable/why-cockroachdb
- DB Documentation: https://apple.github.io/foundationdb/
- [7] AWS Whitepaper. Amazon Aurora: A Highly Available Relational Database Built for the Cloud https://docs.aws.amazon.com/whitepapers/latest/migrating databases-to-amazon-aurora/migrating-databases-to-amazon aurora.html
- https://www.ibm.com/docs/en/db2-bigsql/7.1?topic=environment-transactional-tables-in-db2-big-sql